

PWG MFD Working Group Teleconference Meeting Minutes March 12, 2009

1. Attendees:

Shah Bhatti,	Samsung
Nancy Chen,	Oki Data
Lee Farrell,	Canon
Ira McDonald,	High North, Inc.
Glen Petrie,	Epson
Bill Wagner,	TIC
Peter Zehler	Xerox

2. Identify Minute Taker – Peter Zehler

3. Approval of minutes from last teleconference <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/mfd/minutes/pwg-mfd-minutes-20090108.pdf>

No objection to the minutes.

4. PWG process

Attendees were informed that the meeting is held in accord with the PWG Intellectual Property Policy. There was no objection.

5. Agenda bashing

No changes to the agenda were suggested.

6. Status of Scan Service specification

(The spec used is: <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/mfd/wd/lcrc-mfdscanmodel10-20090309.pdf>)

- The name of the file must be changed to a working draft prior to Formal Vote.

AI: Peter Zehler will make the change and resubmit to PWG Secretary.

- Since there are a number of PWG members that have declared their intent to join the PWG but have not submitted a signed membership agreement we are going to extend the vote to 4 weeks. The voting requirements will be included in the vote announcement. The signed membership agreement is required but the payment need not be made.

AI: Peter Zehler will include some proposed text in the vote request submission to the PWG Secretary.

- Updates to the specification will be made. The suggested updates are a result of the Resource Service “State” discussion. (See below)

AI: Peter Zehler will make the change prior to resubmission to PWG Secretary.

7. Discuss any comments on the Resource Service interim draft.

- C.criticalCleared row in Table 3 – The Resource service has no “Stopped” state that in job oriented services would be the service state when a C.critical exists. After discussion it was agreed that C.critical does not affect the “isAcceptingResources” element. It is most likely that a fault would result if an attempt is made to get or put a resource while the service has a C.critical. It was agreed that it is not an error for this service for C.criticalCleared in the Idle or Processing state.

AI: Nancy Chen will make the change to the table.

- C.isAcceptingResources only affects get/put/replace/delete a Resource. All other query and administrative operations are unaffected.

AI: Nancy Chen will add a note to the Resource Service specification.

AI: Peter Zehler will add a similar note to the Scan Service specification.

- Updates to the specification will be made. The suggested updates are a result of the Resource Service “State” discussion. (See below)

AI: Peter Zehler will make the change prior to resubmission to PWG Secretary

- It was noted that operations within a single context do not overlap. (i.e. It is a request-response protocol) Server should support multiple concurrent clients.
- The C.disabled does not exist. When the Resource Service is disabled the condition is represented as ~C.isAcceptingResources.

AI: Nancy Chen will make the change

- E.startRequest and E.endRequest need a footnote to explain that in the Resource Service document it refers to the operations that transfer resources. It does not refer to queries or administrative operations. (Note: Does this apply to DeleteResource as well?)

This does not apply to the Scan Service document since the E.startJob and E.endJob refer to the internal processing of a job selected by the scheduler.

AI: Nancy Chen will add a footnote to clarify and explicitly call out the operations (i.e. GetResource, PutResource ReplaceResource)

8. Next Steps

- The next teleconference will be on March 26.

- An updated version of the overall MFD specification will be put out the week of March 16.
- The March 26 teleconference will focus on the new MFD specification
- An updated Scan specification will be put out before March 16 and a mail note will be sent out requesting a 4 week vote and clarify the member voting requirements. (Peter Zehler will send proposed verbiage in mail note to PWG Secretary.)
- The updated Resource specification will be posted with revisions listed above. The goal is a PWG wide Last Call that will span the next Face to Face meeting.
- A report (e.g. mail note) on Xerox's prototyping of the Resource Service will be needed before the Resource Service can go to Formal Vote).