

IPPV2

Camas Face-to-Face Minutes

August 15, 2008

Craig Whittle - Secretary

Attendees

Bill Wagner	TIC (Konica-Minolta)
Heo	Samsung
Rick Landau	Dell
Pete Zehler	Xerox
Harry Lewis	IBM
Lee Farrell	Canon
Ron Bergman	Ricoh
Jerry Thasher	Lexmark
Nancy Chen	Okidata
Sha Bhatti	Samsung
Glen Petrie	Epson

General Discussion

- ❖ Minutes from the previous teleconference were accepted (see <ftp://anonymous:user%40host.com@ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ipp/ippv2-minutes/IPPV2-ConCall-Minutes-20080721.pdf>)
- ❖ Harry expressed concern about “the label” given for printer types for each version of IPP v2.x. For example, a production printer doesn’t need to have IPP v2.2.
 - Rewording rationale for groups or leaving off label would be better. It’s an “interoperable function set”
 - Action items: follow-up with Ira and Michael on removing label from specification.
 - Action item: Change throughout specification (Harry volunteered)
 - Workgroup Printer → 2.0 Printer
 - Enterprise Printer → 2.1 Printer
 - Production Printer → 2.2 Printer
- ❖ Ron reviewed change in
 - Michael Sweet requested that at least one document format must be supported

- Lee pointed out that this is not in current statement of work
- Do we need to change the statement of work? No (note conclusion below)
- If we specify the proposed set, why not add other formats like XPS, BMLinkS, or others
- Shouldn't there be a CUPS certification that can specify more detailed requirements?
- CONSENSUS: Remove section
- Action: remove from the references section of the specification references to documents that are not longer applicable with the removal of recommended document formats
- Sections of the specification still need to be completed
- Proposed work
 - List each attribute required per operation (complex combinations)
 - Perhaps this should be a new specification or a new revision
 - A new specification might result in delayed implementation – companies may wait till the new revision is available.
 - CONSENSUS: Add attributes per operation to current specification.
 - Can we added XPS as a language to our supported document formats
 - IPP uses MIME type (not change necessary)
 - Printer MIB needs a new enum for XPS (input channel?)

Next Steps / Open Actions:

- Next teleconference on the August 25th
- Action item: Harry to post “contributed specification” with labels removed (e.g., “production” replaced with “2.2 printer”).