

IDS Working Group

2011-07-21 Teleconference Minutes

1. Attendees

Ira McDonald	High North / Samsung
Joe Murdock	Sharp
Glen Petrie	Epson
Amir Shahindoust	Toshiba
Brian Smithson	Ricoh
Bill Wagner	TIC
Rick Yardumian	Canon
Pete Zehler	Xerox

2. Agenda

Joe Murdock opened the IDS meeting and provided the planned agenda topics:

- Agenda bashing
- Review last teleconference minutes
- Review Action Items
- F2F planning (F2F slides)
 - ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/Presentation/2011-08-01_IDS_Plenary.pdf
 - ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/Presentation/2011-08-04_IDS_F2Fd1.pdf
- NIAP update

3. Minutes Taker

Brian Smithson

4. PWG Operational Policy

It was noted that all attendees should be aware that the meeting is conducted under the PWG Membership and Intellectual Property rules. There were no objections.

5. Approve Minutes from previous meeting

Minutes from the previous meeting are at <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/minutes/IDS-f2f-minutes-20110630.pdf>. There were no objections to the previous meeting's minutes except that the page header had the incorrect date (it will be re-posted with the corrected date).

6. Review Action Items

The most recent Action Item spreadsheet is available at: <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/ActionItems/>. Action item updates are reflected in the updated action items spreadsheet.

7. F2F planning (F2F slides)

Refer to:

IDS Working Group

2011-07-21 Teleconference Minutes

- ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/Presentation/2011-08-01_IDS_Plenary.pdf and
- ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/Presentation/2011-08-04_IDS_F2Fd1.pdf

Some changes were made in officer and editor roles: Brian Smithson announced that he needs to resign from the posts of co-chair and secretary, and a call for a new secretary (at least) will be made at the F2F.

8. NIAP Update

The original objective of producing supporting documents for IEEE 2600.1 was to make it possible for NIAP to reinstate 2600.1 (plus supporting documents) as the US Govt Protection Profile for HCDs at EAL3. However, NIAP has said that they would not reinstate a PP at EAL3 under any circumstances. They did say they would work with us to create supporting documents that provided tailored assurance as they see it would benefit the consistency and objectivity of international evaluations.

Adding requirements based on NIAP's tailored assurance approach may indeed result in more consistent and objective evaluations, but thus far there hasn't been any problem identified with HCD evaluations that hasn't been solved without tailored assurance in the PP or in SDs. Brian also expressed a concern that working with NIAP on tailored assurance requirements may cause unintended problems in evaluations performed outside of the US scheme, and the majority of HCD evaluations are performed outside of the US.

Brian proposed to drop this activity from IDS because (1) the original motivation was no longer possible and (2) there does not seem to be much interest in creating supporting documents without either a clear business benefit to vendors or a solution to an actual problem.

We will discuss this with a wider audience at the F2F and make a final decision.

9. Summary of New Action Items and Open Issues

9.1 New action items

None.

9.2 New issues

None

9.3 Old issues

1. How are administrators notified of remediation issues? Does the HCD ever initiate a notification, or is it always the remediation server that initiates notification? Does this same issue apply to policy servers?
2. What is a "fatal" error? Under what circumstances (if any) do we require the HCD to be shut down?
3. Increase interaction and work tracking with other working groups (IPP-Everywhere)

IDS Working Group

2011-07-21 Teleconference Minutes

10. Wrap up and adjournment

The next IDS meeting is a face-to-face meeting at Sharp Labs in Camas, WA, on Thursday, August 4, 2011, starting at 9:00AM PDT.

IDS meeting adjourned.