

Cloud Imaging Model WG Minutes

November 18, 2013

Meeting was called to order at approximately 3:00pm ET November 18, 2013.

Attendees

Smith Kennedy (HP)
Daniel Manchala (Xerox)
Ira McDonald (High North)
Ron Nevo (Samsung)
Mike Sweet (Apple)
William Wagner (TIC)
Rick Yardumian (Canon)

Agenda Items

1. IP Policy and Minute Taker
 - a. Policy accepted with Mike taking the minutes
2. Review of previous minutes:
 - a. <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/cloud/minutes/cloud-f2f-minutes-20131022.pdf>
 - b. Approved as posted
3. Review of Cloud Imaging Requirements and Model
 - a. <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/cloud/wd/wd-cloudimagingmodel10-20131115-rl.pdf>
 - b. Page 28: Still have signal duration listed for Identify Printer
 - c. 4.2.1.2: must -> MUST for items 3 and 4
 - d. 4.2.1.2 IdentifyDevice
 - Q: Do we want the Cloud Imaging Service to be able to cancel identify operations?
 - A: Maybe
 - How to do it with IPP?
 - 'cancel' keyword for identify-actions?
 - IIG2: provide guidance on recommended durations, each identify-printer operation replaces the previous actions (if not expired), what to do for display (replace, alternate, ??? discuss in IPP WG)
 - Action: Mike to post adding identify-actions = 'cancel' and 'identifying-printer' printer-state-reasons to IPP list
 - e. Global: fix lowercase musts
 - f. Global: check spelling
 - g. 4.2.2.1:
 - Lines 894-897 should be numbered list
 - Expand a bit on the kinds of notifications that are supplied: jobs are fetchable, identify device is pending, jobs were canceled, etc.

- Line 950: end -> cancel, capitalize identify actions
- Item 4: Include job elements instead of separate UpdateJobState operation
- Item 5: IdentifyActions instead of DeviceIdentifyRequest
- GetSystemNotifications should return basic event information, like IPP Get-Notifications:
 - job state changes include new job state, job UUID, service URI
 - identify actions include new set of actions
- h. Table 2:
 - Add GetJobElements, GetDocumentElements (standard SM operations)
- 4. Review of Google documents
 - a. Q: To what extent should we review and provide comments back to Google?
 - A: Useful to provide feedback collectively, both for PWG members and (presumably) for Google
 - b. Q: Would it be worthwhile to map GCP elements to SM elements?
 - A: Useful to have a high level roadmap - terminology, major differences, etc. as a result of reviewing the current documents to provide feedback to Google.
 - c. SemanticStates:
 - No Interpreter state to report document data processing failures/warnings

Next Steps / Open Actions

- Next Cloud conference call is December 9, 2013 at 3pm ET
- Action: Mike to post adding identify-actions = 'cancel' and 'identifying-printer' printer-state-reasons to IPP list
- Action: Ron to find a Samsung editor (PENDING)